

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

SR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/306,448 05/06/99 WILLIAMSON W **EXAMINER** QM12/0928 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P. PREBIL IC.P **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI OH 45202 3738 20 DATE MAILED: 09/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/306,448

Williamson IV et al

Examiner

Paul Prebilic

Art Unit 3738



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ication.
Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 21,	2001
	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/al	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	•
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/306,448

Art Unit: 3738

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on July 25, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/306,448 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

After reviewing the 37 CFR 1.132 declarations filed December 21, 2000, it was determined that they were not sufficient to overcome the Williamson, IV et al (US Patent 6,042,607) because the declarations were only from the uncommon inventors and not the common inventors; see MPEP 716.01. For this reason, the rejections utilizing this patent have been reinstated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 16-18, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williamson, IV et al (US 6,042,607) wherein the staple fasteners of Williamson, IV et al are deformed through the sewing ring and tissue to attach the tissue to the sewing ring; see the whole document, especially column 22, line 52 to column 24, line 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/306,448 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

With regard to claims 17, 24, and 25 specifically, it is noted that the tips of the staples of Williamson are rotated about the center portion such that the claimed rotational motion is met thereby.

Claims 16-18, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williamson, IV et al (US 5,716,370) wherein the staple fasteners of Williamson, IV et al are deformed through the sewing ring and tissue to attach the tissue to the sewing ring; see the whole document, especially Figures 4 and 12-14 as well as column 13, lines 12-40.

With regard to claims 17, 24, and 25 specifically, it is noted that the tips of the staples of Williamson are rotated about the center portion such that the claimed rotational motion is met thereby.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15, 19-22 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williamson, IV et al (US 6,042,607) in view of Bachinski et al (US 6,036,702). Williamson IV et al meet the claim language as explained in the Section 102 rejection, but lacks the fasteners which are only elastically deformed as claimed. Bachinski et al teach, however, that non-

Application/Control Number: 09/306,448 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

deforming fasteners have been known to the art; see the whole document wherein prongs (522) constitute non-deforming fasteners. Moreover, Williamson, IV et al teaches that sutures, which are also not plastically deformed in use, have been known in the art as means to attach tissue and implants together. Hence, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to use either of these non-deforming fasteners in addition to the fasteners of Williamson IV et al in order to more securely and sealingly attach the valve, sewing ring and tissue together.

Claims 1-15, 19-22 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williamson, IV et al (US 5,716,370) in view of Bachinski et al (US 6,036,702). Williamson IV et al meet the claim language as explained in the Section 102 rejection, but lacks the fasteners which are only elastically deformed as claimed. Bachinski et al teach, however, that non-deforming fasteners have been known to the art; see the whole document wherein prongs (522) constitute non-deforming fasteners. Moreover, Williamson, IV et al teaches that sutures, which are also not plastically deformed in use, have been known in the art as means to attach tissue and implants together. Hence, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to use either of these non-deforming fasteners in addition to the fasteners of Williamson IV et al in order to more securely and sealingly attach the valve, sewing ring and tissue together.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2001 and August 21, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/306,448

Art Unit: 3738

In particular, Applicants filed recorded assignment data which as intended to show common ownership. However, Serial No. 08/606,343 now US Patent 5,716,370 had an assignment to Cardiovascular Technologies LLC on the day that the present invention was made May 6, 1999 (on July 25, 2001 as well). In addition, Serial No. 08/802,948 now US Patent 6,042,607 had also had an assignment to Cardiovascular Technologies LLC on May 6, 1999 (on July 25, 2001 as well). However, the present application had no assignment on the day of its invention May 6, 1999 (on July 25, 2001). For this reason, there is no showing of common ownership as required to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 103(a).

In addition, it is pointed out that a separate paper which clearly and conspicuously states that common ownership existed is required to show common ownership; see MPEP 706.02(l)(2) 8th edition. However, in the present case, the Examiner has reviewed the assignment data and determined that there was not common ownership at the time the present invention was made. In addition, it is noted that "the requirement for common ownership at the time the claimed invention was made is intended to preclude obtaining ownership of subject matter after the claimed invention was made in order to disqualify the subject matter as prior art against the claimed invention." (MPEP 706.02(l)(2) page 700-37 third full paragraph on right column of 8th edition) For this reason, the Examiner does not see how Applicants can overcome these rejections by this route. Declarations under 37 CFR 1.132 may be the only route left, but this is applicable against the Section 103 rejections.

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned problem is corrected.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 872-9301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 3738